3rd Italian Workshop on Embedded Systems

A HW/SW Unified Approach for Embedded Systems Monitoring

Giacomo Valente, Luigi Pomante, Vittoriano Muttillo and Marco Faccio



Overview

Scenario

Embedded applications are characterized by increasingly stringent functional/non-functional requirements, leading to complex heterogeneous HW platforms.

Problems

Platforms need to be characterized. On-chip monitoring systems support on this, but they are normally introduced at lower-levels of the design-flow, where it is costly to manage their impact.

This work proposes a solution to this problem

Support the designer on the selection of on-chip monitoring systems since the initial steps of the design-flow (*system-level*) and the evaluation/estimation of their impact

Overview

The MONICA methodology

Goal: to consider monitorability requirements since the systemlevel of abstraction



Outline

Context

Motivations

- Contributions
 - MONICA methodology
 - □ AIPHS
- Validation
 - AIPHS

Conclusions and future works

Context

- During the development of digital electronic systems, requirements drive the design flow as main goals
 - □ Functional requirements (application behaviour)
 - Non-functional requirements (performance, power dissipation, etc.)
- The focus of this work is on dedicated/embedded digital electronic systems
 - □ Require the simultaneous optimization of several design metrics
 - Giving the set of functional requirements, the best HW/SW technologies to be adopted in the final implementation can be very different depending on non-functional requirements

Context

- Monitoring system
 - Unit under monitoring
 - Monitoring infrastructure
 - Monitoring processors
- Event Instance
 - It is referred to an event
 - ID, Timestamp, Information, Attribute





Context

Example: Measurement-Based WCET estimation

Concept	Example in measurement based WCET analysis
Monitoring action	Event instance at certain measurement points
Monitoring technique	Source-code instrumentation
Raw information	Event instances with two IDs and one timestamp
Event	Execution of annotations

Motivations

- What should be monitored
 - Target not considered
 - Strong impact on resources
- On-Chip monitoring systems
 - Introduced at lower-levels
 - Difficult to deal with their impact
 - Intrusiveness
 - Overhead
- Is it possible a meet-in-themiddle agreement?



Outline

Context

Motivations

- Contributions
 - MONICA methodology
 - □ AIPHS
- Validation
 - □ AIPHS

Conclusions and future works

Contributions

To raise the abstraction level of on-chip monitoring systems integration

- Some work to be done to obtain "knobs", i.e. parameters, also called monitorability issues
- In a HW/SW co-design flow, this means to move on-chip monitoring actions at designspace exploration step
- Is it a sort of "Design for Monitorability"?



Contributions

Proposed actions

- 1) Quantitative parameters
- 2) Qualitative parameters
- 3) Reference architecture for on-chip monitoring systems
- 4) New characterization of existing on-chip monitoring systems
- 5) Metrics to evaluate the impact at system-level
- 6) Introduce methodology in a HW/SW co-design flow
- 7) Targeting of both SW and HW tasks
- 8) Making the methodology extendible
- 9) Proposing the methodology as a framework

Contributions

Proposed actions in the scientific literature

	Proposed solution	OWL	Airwolf	ABACUS	M1	SOF	M2	MONICA
		[2005_Schulz]	[2008_Tong]	[2010_Matthews]	[2013_Kornaros]	[2015_Lee]	[2015_Nelissen]	
	Year	2005	2008	2010	2013	2015	2015	2017
1	Definition of							X
	parameters for a							^
	quantitative approach							
2	Definition of				X	х		X
	parameters for a				X	~		~
	qualitative approach							
3	Proposal of a reference				X		X	X
	architecture for OCMSs				X		~	~
4	Characterization of		X		X	х	X	X
	existing monitoring				X	~	~	~
	solutions							
5	Metrics to evaluate the							X
	impact at system-level							~
6	Insertion in an ESL flow							Х
7	Targeting of both SW					X		Y
	and HW Tasks					~		^
8	Extendible	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х
9	Proposed as a framework	Х		X		Х		Х

Contribution

MONICA methodology

Acronym of on-chip
 MONItoring system
 ChAracterization

Act on HW/SW partitioning

- Act on cost functions
- Act with a multi-level partitioning
 - Given the first assessed solution, a second-level partitioning considers the additional monitorability constraints



Contribution MONICA methodology

Two-steps partitioning



Contribution MONICA methodology

Quantitative

Parameter	Details				
Efficiency	Detection latency (DL)				
	$DL = T_{WMI} + T_{MIO} + T_{WMP} + T_{MPO}$				
	Performance Degradation				
Cost	Physical Cost (PC)				
	Power dissipation cost				
	Design Cost				
Memory space	• Memory requirements for raw information and				
	monitoring information storage.				

Contribution MONICA methodology

Qualitative

Parameter	Details		
Type of Physical	• {CF, RCF, PF}.		
Implementation			
SW Platform	• {bare-metal, OS}		
Purpose	• {DBG, PF, QoS, PW, EN, TM, FT, RL, SEC}.		
OCMS architecture	• N-S-M = {SW-SW-SW, SW-SW-HW, SW-HW-SW, SW-HW-HW, HW-HW-SW, HW-HW-HW}.		
	Monitoring technique: {SLCI, SBLCI, DBLCI, SLI, HPC, PMU, HTB, custom}		
Multi-thread/Multi-core	 Multi-thread = {yes, no} 		
	Multi-core = {yes, no}		
Synchronization	{Automatic, Custom}		
Resource Sharing	• {SW task, PPs, instruction memory, data memory}.		

Contribution

MONICA methodology

Creation of MONICA tables



Contribution AIPHS

- Acronym of "AdaptIve Profiling HW Sub-system"
- Library of elements to develop HW on-chip monitoring systems
 - Strongly customizable on-chip monitoring architecture
 - APIs to interact with implemented on-chip monitoring systems both in bare-metal and Linux user-space applications



Contribution AIPHS



Contribution AIPHS Metrics

- Memory exploitation metrics
- HW communication metrics
- Overall execution time metrics
- Code coverage metrics

Outline

Context

Motivations

Contributions
 MONICA methodology
 AIPHS

Validation

- □ AIPHS

Conclusions and future works

Indoor localization algorithm



Indoor localization algorithm



HW architecture of the monitored system



Predicted vs actual speed-up trend



Usage of MONICA: F-OMP

Development of an OCMS able to offer a feedback about the use of OpenMP in embedded systems physically implemented on FPGA

- Parallel Coverage
- Workshare duration
- Load Balancing
- Work Scheduling
- Number of data cache / TLB misses
- False Sharing

Usage of MONICA: F-OMP

HW architecture of the monitored system



Usage of MONICA: F-OMP

Application of feedbacks from F-OMP



Conclusions

The main contributions of the work:

- Proposal of MONICA methodology, to consider on-chip monitoring systems since the initial steps of the design-flow and evaluation/estimation of their impact, something that has never been proposed in literature
- Proposal of AIPHS library, to develop HW on-chip monitoring systems for architectures on reconfigurable logic
 - Validation through three use-cases
- Application of both MONICA and AIPHS to develop F-OMP, an on-chip monitoring system to offer feedback to speed-up the execution of applications that make use of OpenMP

Future Works

- Release of the tool to fill the MONICA table for each on-chip monitoring systems
- Introduction of MONICA methodology in an existing HW/SW co-design tool
- Integration of ABACUS into AIPHS
- Porting of F-OMP toward RISC-V architectures

Thank you Any Questions?